

Analyzing Global Policies for Space Debris Removal: A Technical Review of Small Satellite Guidelines

Luana Silva

University of Fortaleza, Brazil

Abstract:

Space debris has emerged as a significant challenge in the context of increasing space activities. The accumulation of non-functional satellites, spent rocket stages, and fragments from collisions pose serious risks to operational satellites and human activities in space. This paper analyzes global policies aimed at space debris removal, focusing specifically on the technical guidelines for small satellites. As small satellites become more prevalent in the space industry, it is crucial to understand the current regulatory landscape and technological solutions for mitigating space debris. The review encompasses existing international frameworks, national policies, and the technical measures proposed for debris removal. The findings underscore the need for cohesive global action, enhanced collaboration among stakeholders, and the development of innovative technologies to effectively address space debris challenges.

Keywords: Space debris, satellite guidelines, global policies, space sustainability, debris removal, small satellites, international regulations, technological solutions.

I. Introduction:

The increasing presence of space debris represents one of the most pressing issues facing modern space exploration and utilization. Space debris, commonly referred to as "space junk," consists of a variety of non-functional spacecraft, spent rocket stages, and fragments resulting from collisions or disintegration of

objects in orbit. As more entities engage in space activities, the probability of collision and the subsequent generation of debris are rising exponentially. The significance of space debris is underscored by its potential to damage or destroy operational satellites, disrupt space missions, and even threaten human safety in space. Notable incidents, such as the 2009 collision between Iridium 33 and Cosmos 2251, have demonstrated the catastrophic consequences of orbital debris. With the advent of small satellites, which are increasingly being launched for various purposes, the challenge of space debris management has become more acute [1].

In response to this growing concern, international organizations, national governments, and private stakeholders have begun to formulate policies and technical guidelines aimed at space debris mitigation and removal [2]. These guidelines aim to minimize the generation of debris and promote the sustainable use of outer space. However, the effectiveness of these policies is often hindered by a lack of comprehensive enforcement mechanisms and varying levels of compliance among space-faring nations. This paper aims to conduct a detailed analysis of global policies for space debris removal, with a particular focus on the technical guidelines for small satellites. It will examine existing frameworks, national regulations, and the proposed technical solutions for debris removal. The goal is to provide a thorough understanding of the current landscape, identify gaps in policies, and suggest pathways for improvement [3].

II. Overview of Space Debris:

Space debris encompasses a wide array of objects that orbit the Earth but no longer serve any functional purpose. This includes defunct satellites, discarded rocket stages, and debris from past collisions or explosions [4]. According to estimates from the European Space Agency (ESA), there are over 34,000 objects larger than 10 cm in orbit around the Earth, along with millions of smaller fragments that pose a risk to operational satellites and future missions. The primary sources of space debris include the launch of new satellites, satellite

collisions, and the break-up of spacecraft during operations or due to uncontrolled re-entries. As space activities have intensified, particularly with the rise of small satellite deployments, the generation of space debris has accelerated [5]. The accumulation of debris in certain orbits can lead to a cascade effect known as the Kessler Syndrome, where the density of objects in low Earth orbit (LEO) increases to the point that collisions become inevitable [6].

The impacts of space debris are profound. Not only does it pose a direct threat to operational satellites, but it also increases the costs of space missions due to the need for collision avoidance maneuvers. Additionally, the presence of debris complicates the design and operation of new satellites, which must now incorporate measures to mitigate the risk of collision. The rising costs and risks associated with space debris necessitate urgent action to address this issue. International guidelines, such as those established by the Inter-Agency Space Debris Coordination Committee (IADC) and the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA), advocate for debris mitigation strategies. These include designing satellites for end-of-life deorbiting, maintaining proper disposal orbits, and limiting the creation of debris during satellite operations. Despite these guidelines, compliance varies among nations, and the effectiveness of current policies is often questioned.

Moreover, the advent of mega constellations, like SpaceX's Starlink and OneWeb, has further exacerbated the space debris problem. The deployment of thousands of satellites into LEO has raised concerns about overcrowding in space and the associated risks. Therefore, addressing the challenges posed by space debris requires not only adherence to existing guidelines but also the development of innovative technologies and collaborative international efforts.

III. Global Policy Frameworks:

Global policy frameworks for space debris management have evolved significantly in response to the increasing risks posed by space debris. One of the primary international bodies responsible for addressing space debris is the

United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS). Established in 1959, COPUOS has facilitated discussions among member states on issues related to space exploration, including the management of space debris. The IADC, established in 1999, is another critical organization focused on space debris coordination among space agencies. The IADC has developed guidelines for debris mitigation, which outline best practices for satellite design, operation, and end-of-life disposal. These guidelines emphasize the importance of minimizing the creation of debris and ensuring that defunct satellites are removed from orbit within a specified timeframe. The United Nations General Assembly has also adopted resolutions aimed at promoting the sustainable use of outer space. In 2007, the UN General Assembly passed a resolution urging states to develop and implement national policies for space debris mitigation in accordance with the IADC guidelines. This resolution represents a significant step toward establishing a cohesive global framework for space debris management.

Despite the existence of these international frameworks, challenges remain in their implementation. Many countries lack the resources or technical expertise to fully comply with the guidelines, leading to disparities in debris mitigation practices. Additionally, the guidelines themselves are non-binding, meaning that enforcement mechanisms are weak, and compliance relies on voluntary adherence. National governments have begun to formulate their own regulations in response to global guidelines. For instance, the United States established the National Orbital Debris Research and Development Plan in 2020, which outlines a comprehensive strategy for mitigating and removing space debris. Similarly, the European Union has proposed legislation that requires satellite operators to demonstrate compliance with debris mitigation standards before launching [7]. The proliferation of private satellite operators has added another layer of complexity to the global policy landscape. These entities often operate independently of national regulations, raising questions about accountability and compliance. As the private sector becomes increasingly involved in space

activities, establishing clear guidelines and regulations that encompass all stakeholders is essential for effective debris management.

Furthermore, the lack of a comprehensive registry of space debris complicates efforts to track and manage debris in orbit. Enhancing data-sharing agreements and collaboration among countries can help improve the tracking of debris and facilitate more effective responses to potential collisions.

IV. National Policies and Regulations

National policies for space debris management are critical in complementing global frameworks and ensuring compliance with international guidelines. Countries like the United States, the European Union, and Japan have taken significant steps to develop robust national policies addressing space debris. In the United States, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) have established regulations governing satellite operations [8]. The NASA Orbital Debris Program Office provides guidelines for satellite design and operation to minimize debris creation. The U.S. government has also implemented measures requiring satellite operators to plan for end-of-life disposal and to demonstrate compliance with debris mitigation practices. The European Union has recognized the growing threat of space debris and is working towards creating a comprehensive regulatory framework. The European Space Agency (ESA) collaborates with EU member states to promote adherence to debris mitigation guidelines. The EU's Space Surveillance and Tracking (SST) program aims to provide enhanced tracking capabilities for space debris, allowing for better collision avoidance measures.

Japan has also taken proactive steps in addressing space debris through its national space policy. The Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) conducts research on debris removal technologies and has initiated projects aimed at developing active debris removal (ADR) solutions. These efforts reflect a commitment to ensuring the long-term sustainability of space activities. Other

countries, such as China and India, are beginning to formulate their own policies for space debris management. As their space programs expand, the need for comprehensive regulations becomes increasingly important [9]. China, for example, has established guidelines for satellite design and operation, emphasizing the importance of minimizing debris generation. However, challenges persist in achieving uniformity in national policies. Differences in regulatory approaches can lead to inconsistencies in compliance and enforcement, undermining global efforts to mitigate space debris. Additionally, some nations may prioritize economic benefits from satellite launches over adherence to debris mitigation guidelines.

Moreover, the role of private entities in space activities raises further complications. Many private companies operate without direct oversight from national regulations, making it challenging to ensure compliance with debris mitigation practices. Collaborative efforts between governments and the private sector are essential for establishing a cohesive approach to space debris management. Finally, as nations expand their capabilities in space exploration, there is an urgent need for capacity-building initiatives. Providing technical assistance and resources to developing countries can enhance their ability to comply with international guidelines and contribute to global efforts in space debris management [10].

V. Technical Solutions for Debris Removal:

Addressing the issue of space debris requires innovative technical solutions capable of effectively removing debris from orbit. Various approaches have been proposed, each with its own set of advantages and challenges. This section explores the most promising technical solutions for space debris removal. One of the most discussed methods is active debris removal (ADR), which involves the use of spacecraft or robotic systems to capture and deorbit defunct satellites and large debris objects. Several concepts have been proposed for ADR, including net capture, harpoons, and robotic arms. Each method has its own operational

challenges, such as the need for precise targeting and the risks associated with close proximity operations. Another promising approach is the use of drag-enhancement devices, such as sails or inflatable structures. These devices can be deployed on defunct satellites to increase their atmospheric drag, allowing them to re-enter the Earth's atmosphere and burn up. This passive method requires minimal energy input and can be effective for satellites in low Earth orbit [11].

Laser propulsion has also emerged as a potential solution for debris removal. Ground-based or space-based lasers can be used to impart momentum to debris objects, altering their orbits and enabling controlled re-entry. While this approach holds great promise, it raises concerns about the potential for unintended consequences and the need for strict operational protocols to prevent collateral damage. The development of "debris-to-debris" systems, where one piece of debris is used to capture another, represents another innovative approach. These systems can operate autonomously, utilizing sensors and artificial intelligence to identify and capture debris objects. This technology is still in its infancy, and extensive testing is required to validate its effectiveness. Small satellites are also increasingly being considered for debris removal missions. Their cost-effectiveness and versatility make them suitable for various debris removal strategies. For instance, small satellites equipped with ADR technologies can be deployed to target specific debris objects in orbit, providing a more flexible and scalable approach to debris removal.

Collaboration among nations and private companies is essential for developing and deploying these technical solutions. Initiatives like the ClearSpace-1 mission funded by the ESA and set to launch in the near future, aim to demonstrate the feasibility of ADR technologies by targeting a specific piece of debris for removal. Moreover, the integration of space traffic management systems can enhance coordination among space operators and facilitate collision avoidance. Improved tracking of space debris can lead to more informed decision-making and better preparedness for potential collisions. In conclusion, while numerous technical

solutions for space debris removal exist, ongoing research, development, and collaboration among stakeholders are crucial for successfully addressing this global challenge.

VI. Challenges in Policy Implementation

Despite the existence of international guidelines and national policies for space debris management, several challenges hinder their effective implementation. This section explores the primary obstacles faced by stakeholders in the global effort to mitigate space debris. One significant challenge is the lack of binding regulations governing space debris management. Many existing guidelines are non-binding, which means that countries can choose whether or not to adhere to them. This leads to inconsistencies in compliance and creates a patchwork of regulations that may not adequately address the global nature of space debris. Another challenge is the disparity in resources and capabilities among nations. Developing countries may lack the technical expertise or financial resources to implement effective debris mitigation practices. This disparity raises concerns about equity in space governance and highlights the need for capacity-building initiatives to support less developed nations [12]. The rapid growth of the commercial space sector has added another layer of complexity to the policy landscape. Private companies often operate independently of national regulations, making it difficult to enforce compliance with debris mitigation guidelines. As more commercial entities enter the space market, establishing clear regulations that encompass all stakeholders is essential for effective debris management.

Furthermore, the increasing deployment of mega constellations presents unique challenges for space debris management. These large satellite networks can contribute to congestion in LEO and raise the likelihood of collisions. Ensuring that operators of mega constellations adhere to debris mitigation practices is critical to preventing further accumulation of debris. Collaboration among countries and private entities is also hampered by differing national interests

and priorities. While some nations may prioritize economic benefits from satellite launches, others may focus on long-term sustainability and debris mitigation. This divergence can complicate international efforts to establish cohesive policies. The absence of comprehensive data on space debris further complicates policy implementation. Tracking and monitoring debris in orbit is essential for effective collision avoidance and debris management. However, many countries lack the capabilities to maintain a complete registry of debris objects, making it challenging to coordinate mitigation efforts.

Moreover, the technological challenges associated with debris removal can impede policy implementation. Developing effective and reliable debris removal technologies requires significant investment in research and development. The high costs and technical complexities of these systems may discourage some stakeholders from pursuing debris removal initiatives. Finally, the potential for political and legal disputes surrounding space debris management cannot be overlooked. As nations grapple with competing interests in space activities, disagreements may arise regarding responsibility and liability for debris creation and management. Establishing clear frameworks for accountability is essential for fostering cooperation and collaboration in space debris management.

VII. Future Directions and Recommendations:

Addressing the issue of space debris requires a multifaceted approach that encompasses policy, technology, and international collaboration. One of the most critical steps toward effective space debris management is the establishment of binding international regulations. By creating enforceable standards for debris mitigation and removal, countries can ensure greater compliance and accountability among space operators. Such regulations would help level the playing field among nations, ensuring that all space-faring entities adhere to consistent and rigorous guidelines. Enhancing data-sharing agreements among countries and private entities is essential for improving tracking capabilities for space debris. Establishing collaborative networks for

data exchange can facilitate more informed decision-making and enhance overall situational awareness in space. The lack of a comprehensive registry of debris complicates efforts to manage the space environment, making this a key area for development. Greater transparency regarding the locations and trajectories of active and inactive objects can help mitigate collision risks and promote safer operations in orbit. Increased investment in research and development for debris removal technologies is also crucial. Governments and private entities should prioritize funding for innovative solutions capable of effectively capturing and deorbiting space debris.

Collaborative projects, such as joint research initiatives, can foster knowledge sharing and accelerate technological advancements. Encouraging partnerships between space agencies, universities, and private companies can yield new ideas and technologies, ultimately contributing to more effective debris management strategies. Capacity-building initiatives can significantly enhance the ability of developing countries to comply with international guidelines and contribute to global debris management efforts. Providing technical assistance, resources, and training can help these nations establish their own regulatory frameworks and improve their technical capabilities for debris mitigation. By investing in the capacity-building of emerging space-faring nations, the global community can foster a more equitable and inclusive approach to space governance. Establishing a global space traffic management system could facilitate better coordination among satellite operators, enabling them to avoid collisions and manage debris more effectively. Such a system could include standardized protocols for collision avoidance and debris tracking, ensuring that all stakeholders have access to critical information. Improved collaboration among national space agencies and private companies in tracking space objects can significantly enhance the overall safety of space operations. The private sector plays a crucial role in space activities, and companies should be encouraged to adopt responsible practices regarding debris mitigation. Establishing industry standards for satellite design, operation, and end-of-life disposal can help reduce

the generation of debris and promote a culture of sustainability among satellite operators. Encouraging transparency in reporting satellite launches and operational statuses can also contribute to better tracking and management of space debris.

Fostering international collaboration is essential for effectively addressing the global challenge of space debris. Joint initiatives, such as international debris removal missions, can promote cooperation and leverage shared expertise and resources. By aligning national interests with global sustainability goals, countries can work together to find solutions to the growing threat of space debris. Collaborative research, shared best practices, and coordinated debris mitigation strategies can lead to more effective outcomes in managing this critical issue.

VIII. Conclusion:

The issue of space debris represents a significant challenge in the modern era of space exploration and utilization. As the number of satellites in orbit continues to rise, the risks associated with space debris are becoming increasingly pronounced. This paper has provided an analysis of global policies for space debris removal, with a particular focus on the technical guidelines for small satellites. While international frameworks and national policies have been established to address the space debris problem, challenges remain in their effective implementation. The non-binding nature of many guidelines, disparities in resources among nations, and the rapid growth of the commercial space sector complicate efforts to mitigate space debris. Furthermore, the increasing deployment of mega constellations adds urgency to the need for cohesive policies and robust technical solutions.

REFERENCES:

- [1] D. Wischert *et al.*, "Conceptual design of a mars constellation for global communication services using small satellites," in *Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress, IAC, 2020*, vol. 2020: International Astronautical Federation, IAF.
- [2] O. Asiyanbola *et al.*, "Analytical outlook of the commercial space industry for the last frontier: An entrepreneurial potential evaluation of the African space sector," in *Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress, IAC, 2019*, pp. IAC-19_E6_3_2_x51395-IAC-19_E6_3_2_x51395.
- [3] O. A. Asiyanbola *et al.*, "An analytical outlook of the commercial space industry for the last frontier: Potential entrepreneurial evaluation of the African Space Sector," *New Space*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 169-186, 2021.
- [4] H. Gamala, A. Watermana, and D. Wischerta, "Analysis of Space Debris Mitigation and Removal Techniques for Small Satellites in Low Earth Orbit in Purview of the Guidelines Issued by the FCC Prerna Baranwal*, Eugene Rotherama, Simran Mardhanian, Harshini SR, Diya Josea, Oussema Jouinia, Vineel Judsona, Bhoopathi Sai Naik Eslavatha, Alexander Hope Ferdinand Fergusona, c, Joshit Mohantya, d."
- [5] J. Mohanty and A. Ivanov, "Systems engineering and development of transport and logistics architecture in the vicinity of Mars to supply the future colony," in *Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress, IAC, 2020*.
- [6] J. Mohanty, A. Metwally, R. Konurbayev, and B. Meskoob, "Interplanetary communication architecture for future human settlements," in *Advances in the Astronautical Sciences*, 2020, pp. 369-386.
- [7] P. Bernhard, M. Deschamps, and G. Zaccour, "Large satellite constellations and space debris: Exploratory analysis of strategic management of the space commons," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 304, no. 3, pp. 1140-1157, 2023.
- [8] R. Buchs and M.-V. Florin, "Collision risk from space debris: Current status, challenges and response strategies," 2021.
- [9] J. Guyot and S. Rouillon, "Sustainable management of space activity in low Earth orbit," *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 188-212, 2024.

- [10] D. Lambach and L. Wesel, "Tackling the space debris problem: a global commons perspective," in *Proc. 8th European Conference on Space Debris*, 2021.
- [11] M. Leomanni, G. Bianchini, A. Garulli, A. Giannitrapani, and R. Quartullo, "Orbit control techniques for space debris removal missions using electric propulsion," *Journal of guidance, control, and dynamics*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 1259-1268, 2020.
- [12] V. Svitina and M. Cherkasova, "Space debris removal–Review of technologies and techniques. Flexible or virtual connection between space debris and service spacecraft," *Acta Astronautica*, vol. 204, pp. 840-853, 2023.